

January 15, 1976

If other business competitors see that that business entity on Main Street or across the railroad tracks, or wherever it may be located, sees those retained earnings are paid by that business entity, there is a good public relation and a good feeling to invite co-ops to come to their town and do the very thing that they are doing. By this statement I don't want anyone to infer that co-ops do not pay taxes. They do. I do think this is a sound approach and a true approach to solving the problem to the patrons satisfaction, to the co-ops satisfaction and to the satisfaction of all the other business enterprises in that community.

Again, I say there is no double taxation. It is a fair approach to the subject. I move for the adoption of the amendment to Senator Duis' amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carsten, and again, we are addressing ourselves to Senator Burbach's amendment.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, first of all, I have a couple of questions of Senator Burbach, but I want to make one statement. I am most happy to hear that you do have faith enough in the co-op association that in your own mind, and you are a pretty shrewd individual, I will admit, that you have faith enough in that to sell your own business to one. That is real pleasing to me. I have two questions, Senator Burbach, and I visited with you about this amendment, and I am still concerned. The first question is this, I have read your amendment. I have reread your amendment and I have read it again. I have counselled with you and I cannot see anything in your amendment that is really any different than what the original is. Is this true? Does it do exactly what the intent of the original bill was?

SENATOR BURBACH: The end result, Senator Carsten, is identical, if we are talking about single taxation and not double taxation. It does vary from the other program, LB 382, insofar as it makes a determination of whether the patron pays it on money he does not receive in cold cash or whether the co-op corporation pays it at the corporate rate.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Okay, then you are saying the end result is the same, but would you tell me, and this body, and the people of the state of Nebraska, and I think you owe it to them, and I think you tried to in your opening remarks, but once more tell us why you think your approach or your wording or your amendment is superior to the original introduction?

SENATOR BURBACH: Could I answer you in this way, Senator Carsten, by showing you the problem at hand. Here is a business place, it is a co-op and this individual done \$5,034.24 worth of business with that co-op. The rate of return was 12.56 instead of the 10 that I first used before. So actually, the refund is \$632.30 but this man received in cash \$221.31 so the rest was retained. This